Upper Urinary Tract Urothelial Cancer

Demographics
Uncommon
5% of all urothelial tumours
5% of all renal tumours
5% bilateral (synchronous or metachronous)
Peak incidence 10/100,000 population
Peak age 75-79 yrs
Male:female – 2:1
White:black – 2:1
More common in industrialised countries – pocket of high incidence in Balkans

Aetiology
Smoking – increased RR x7
Analgesic abuse
Phenacetin most commonly described – increased RR 3.6x. Also associated with codeine, paracetamol and salicylates
Associated papillary necrosis on imaging associated with risk increase x7, which is synergistic with phenacetin abuse (20x)
Characteristic finding of thickened basement membrane on histology – should alert physician to need for careful surveillance of contralateral kidney.
Occupation
Chemical/petroleum/plastics/coal/tars/asphalt/aniline dyes – increased RR x4-5
Heredity
Lynch syndrome – young women; familial non-polyposis colon tumours/extracolonic lesions including UUT tumours
Balkan nephropathy
Familial but not obviously inherited
In some families increased RR x 100+
Degenerative interstitial nephropathy and UUT TCC
Tumours typically low-grade, bilateral and multifocal

NB. Coffee consumption – not associated when smoking controlled for.

Pathology

Molecular
Many molecular events shared with bladder cancer. Typically loss/inactivation of tumour suppressor genes predominate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>p19 and p16</td>
<td>a/w early low grade/stage lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>p53</td>
<td>a/w progression from low – high grade disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13q</td>
<td>RB1 gene</td>
<td>a/w invasion/mets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many other markers investigated (MSI, p27, surviving). Only E-cadherin appears to be independently prognostic.

**Microscopic**

UUT tumours shown to progress through hyperplasia – dysplasia – CIS

Inverted papilloma associated with ~18% incidence of malignancy (Grainger 1990) – therefore surveillance recommended

Urothelial tumours of the UUT (and LUT) display ‘clonal expansion’. Two major theories:

- Monoclonality – single genetically transformed cell which ‘seeds’ urothelium
- Field change – exposure to specific carcinogen leads to identical genetic changes in susceptible cells throughout urothelium.

Most evidence derived from bladder cancer, supporting monoclonality. However it is now known that a significant proportion of multifocal cancers are derived from different clones (Hafner 2002)

Vast majority of tumours TCC: small proportion of squamous (stones) and adenocarcinomas.

- TCC 90% +
- SCC 1-7% [chronic inflammation/analgesics – usually renal pelvis]
- Adeno <1% [obstruction, inflammation, calculi]

**Macroscopic/spread**

Layers: urothelium, lamina propria, 2/3 layers of smooth muscle, serosa

Upper two-thirds of ureter – 2 layers of smooth muscle: inner loose-coiled spiral (longitudinal); outer tight-coiled spiral (circular). In distal third, an additional outer layer of loose-coiled spiral muscle merges with outer coat of bladder.

Distribution*: 5% proximal
- 25% mid-ureter
- 70% distal

* thought to be due to seeding. Risk of subsequent bladder cancer ~50% at 5 yrs.

Overall 55-75% of UUT cancers low grade and low stage

85% papillary, 15% sessile

T1/T2 in 50% papillary and 80% sessile tumours – overall 50-60% superficially or muscle invasive

Lymph node spread to para-aortic/paracaval/ipsilateral common iliac and pelvic nodes

Haematogenous spread to liver lung and bone
Staging

**Box 1** The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM Clinical Classification for Renal Pelvis and Ureteral Tumors.107

**Primary tumor (T)**
- TX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- T0: No evidence of primary tumor
- Ta: Papillary noninvasive carcinoma
- Tis: Carcinoma in situ
- T1: Tumor invades subepithelial connective tissue
- T2: Tumor invades the muscularis
- T3: Tumor invades into periureteric fat, peripelvic fat, or the renal parenchyma
- T4: Tumor invades adjacent organs, or through the kidney into the perinephric fat

**Regional Lymph Nodes (N)**
- Nx: Regional nodes cannot be assessed
- N0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1: Metastasis in a single lymph node, 2 cm or less in greatest dimension
- N2: Metastasis in a single lymph node, more than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm in greatest dimension; or multiple lymph nodes, none more than 5 cm in greatest dimension
- N3: Metastasis in a lymph node, more than 5 cm in greatest dimension

**Distant Metastasis (M)**
- Mx: Distant metastasis cannot be assessed
- M0: No distant metastasis
- M1: Distant metastasis


**Prognostic factors**

Location; Renal pelvis tumours believed to do better cf. ureteric tumours. renal parenchyma may act as barrier. Large multicentre series (n=611) report 5YS of 54% and 24% for T3 tumours of the renal pelvis and ureter respectively (Guinan 1992)

**Stage**
- Ta: 100% 5YS
- Tis: 100% 5YS
- T1: 97% 5YS
- T2: 73% 5YS
- T3: 41% 5YS (Hall 1998)

**Grade**
- Ash’s modification of Broder’s classification for TCC originally used
- Supplanted by WHO (grades 1-3; Mostofi)
- Some centres using PUNLMP or Epstein (low/high grade)

**Other factors associated with the development of metastases:**
- Renal parenchymal invasion: 95% mets
- Vascular invasion: 83% mets
- Lymphatic invasion: 77% mets (Davis 1987)
Presentation
Haematuria 56-98%
Flank pain 30%
Asymptomatic 15%
Advanced cases (mass, haematuria, wt loss, anaorexia) relative minority
NB. Almost all cases present in life. Incidental autopsy finding very rare

Investigation/imaging
CT urogram
Sensitivity 100%; specificity 60% NPV 100% (Caoili 2002). Problems with small filling defects < 5mm (volume averaging) and increased radiation. Also superior to IVU/USS for staging: Correct 60%, under staged 16%, overstaged 24% (Scolieri 2000).

Contrast study diagnosis:
- Filling defect 50-75%*
- Obstruction
- Non-visualisation
- Enhancing lesion

*DD – blood clot, stone, bowel gas, sloughed papilla, fungus ball.
TCC ~ average 46 HU cf. >100HU for renal stone; therefore pre-contrast CT scan helpful. Stones also don’t enhance!

Retrograde pyelography
Useful for non-filled/obstructed calyx and for further investigation of radiolucent, non-calcified filling defects.
75% accurate (Murphy 1981) – increased to 90% when combined with ureteroscopy (Blute 1989)

Ureteroscopy and biopsy
Useful in patients in whom diagnosis is unclear or those who may be considered for endoscopic treatment (eg. Older patient with pre-existing renal dysfunction & negative cytology) – not required for patients in whom the diagnosis is straightforward and where the procedure will not change the management (i.e young fit patient with normal renal function and positive cytology)
Grade correlation of biopsy with final specimen ~90% (Keeley 1997) cf. visual assessment of grade by urologist of 70% (Hakim 2004).

Fresh specimens required for accurate prediction
Specimens too small to accurately predict stage – grade however appears to be very useful in predicting stage: 85% G1/G2 lesions are Ta/T1; ~70% G3 lesions T2/T3 (Heney 1981; Keeley 1997).

Cytology
Sensitivity of voided urine cytology for UUT TCC
- Grade 1 20%
- Grade 2 45%
- Grade 3 75% (Murphy 1982; Konety 2001)
Equivalent results for ureteric washings (saline best). Sp/Sn of ~ 90% with ureteric brushings but occasional haemorrhage (Blute 1981)
Cystoscopy
Mandatory. Usually performed as part of UUT Ix. If diagnosis on CT book flexi.

Endoscopic Management
Indications:
- Anatomic or functional solitary kidney
- Bilateral UUT TCC
- Baseline renal insufficiency
- Significant co-morbidity
- Selected patients with normal contralateral kidney with low-volume low-grade disease

Retrograde ureteropyeloscopy and resection
Low volume ureteric and pelvic tumours – limited by instrument size
Initial debulking using cold-cup forceps or basket, followed by laser to base
[Holmium:YAG – tissue penetration 0.5mm – good for ureter; Neodymium: YAG (yttrium-aluminium-garnet) 5-6mm – better for large lesions in relanl pelvis]
Complications:  Perforation 10%
                Stricture rate 5-13.5% (diathermy > laser)

Antegrade ureteropyeloscopy and resection
Large volume tumours of the renal pelvis/upper ureter
Better access to lower pole tumours, distorted anatomy and those with urinary diversions
Percutaneous access and Amplatz placement as per PCNL. Cold cup debulking or TUR. Laser/diathermy to base.
Complications:  Bleeding
                Infection
                Pleural injury
                Electrolyte imbalance
                Tract seeding*

* Initially thought to be a significant risk, based on tumour biology and a few case reports, but not borne out by long-term, larger series (Clark PE 1999: 18 patients – no reported recurrences; Jarrett TW 1995; 30 kidneys – no recurrence) However in both series a majority of patients received BCG down nephrostomy tract post-op, which may have reduced recurrence.

Results of endoscopic management
Overall recurrence ~ 33% for renal pelvic and ureteral tumours (Tawfiek 1997 – combined analysis of 205 pts)
Most frequent site of recurrence bladder
Recurrence rate related to grade: 25% grade1; 50% for grade 2+
Studies comparing grade at biopsy vs. pathological stage at NU have shown that 15% have T1 disease – some have advocated endoscopic management of G2 disease in selected patients only (unfit, solitary kidney etc.) However: Initial endoscopic management does not appear to predict a worse outcome
Endoscopic management performed before nephroureterectomy does not affect subsequent post-op prognosis (Boojian 2005)
When percutaneous Rx alone considered:
Recurrence rate 30%; 5YS 80% (Roupret 2007)
No significant difference stage for stage cf. nephroureterectomy (Lee 1999)

What about adjuvant treatment after endoscopic resection?
Cumulative experience appears to favour adjuvant treatment (either via PCN or with JJ stent) but due to small numbers a prospective trial has not been performed. No trial to date has shown improvement in either recurrence rates ot survival. Orihuela reported significantly lower recurrence in those with BCG via PCN (16.6% vs. 80%) but untreated group had inexplicably high recurrence rates and subsequent follow-up (Jarrett) showed no survival advantage.

Segmental Resection
Segmental Resection of renal pelvic tumours
Largely historical – supplanted by modern ureteroscopic/percutaneous techniques. Laparoscopy another alternative in those borderline for significant open surgery.
Local recurrence rates 7-70% (Campbells)

Distal ureterectomy and re-implantation
Indicated for patients with high-grade, invasive or large distal ureteric tumours Especially advantageous vs. nephroureterectomy in patients with borderline renal function who may require chemotherapy.
Crucial to exclude concurrent prx ureteric lesion – thus pre-procedure RPG or intra-operative flexible URS may be required (useful if complete obstruction caused by distal tumour)
Segmental ureterectomy and uretero-ureterostomy or ileal interposition generally not recommended.
Outcomes similar to NU for distal ureteric tumours: Combined figures from multiple trials indicate an overall local recurrence 10-25% (<5% for low grade low stage lesions) 5YS only 65% for T1 and 50% for T2.

Radical nephroureterectomy
With ipsilateral bladder cuff = gold standard
Removal of entire ureter crucial - risk of tumour recurrence in ureteric stump 33-75% (McCarron 1983)
Ipsilateral adrenalectomy originally described but unnecessary unless tumour superior or direct invasion suspected
Multiple approaches:
   Totally open
      Flank + Gibson/Pfannenstiel/lower midline
      Long midline – poor exposure to kidney, esp. on left
   Totally laparoscopic*
   Upper laparoscopic and lower open*
* depends upon attitude towards distal ureter
Management of distal ureter:
   Open BC excision: gold standard but adds time and morbidity.
   Transvesical vs. extravesical. Transvesical, typically thro’ anterior
cystotomy recommended as allows easy circumcision of ureteric orifice with bladder cuff. Extravesical avoids second cystotomy but associated with retention of intramural ureter.

Endoscopic detachment: Originally described by McDonald (1952). Modified by Abercrombie in 1974. Previously thought to be associated with increased risk of tumour implantation. Large series however show no difference between open excision and endoscopic detachment for tumour recurrence rates, DSS or OS (Walton 2008). Not recommended for distal ureteric tumours.

Intussusception technique: Post-NU resection of distal ureter. Requires transection of ureter to complete manoeuvre. Failure rates almost 20% however (Giovansili 2004)

Transvesical laparoscopic: Gill and colleagues associated with a number of techniques designed to simulate open BC excision.

Lymphadenectomy
No evidence to support routine LND
May improve staging and precipitate earlier referral for chemotherapy
Proximal tumours: perihilar/paraortic LND
Distal tumours: ipsilateral pelvic LND

Neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy
UUT urothelial tumours are chemosensitive
No large scale prospective clinical trials – most data extrapolated from bladder cancer trials
Neoadjuvant chemo theoretically attractive:
- Good evidence from ABC in bladder cancer
- Early eradication of subclinical disease
- Better tolerability pre-op
- Ability to deliver higher doses pre-op (2 kidneys)
Role of adjuvant chemotherapy undefined
Adjuvant instillation:
ODMITC: Tim O’Brien. Closed, abstract only to date. Recurrence rate reduced with MMC at 10 days post-op from 26% to 17% at one year [9% absolute risk reduction, 35% relative risk reduction: NNT 10]. Awaiting formal report.

Outcomes following radical nephroureterectomy
Radical NU associated with improved recurrence-free and OS cf. simple nephrectomy (Zungri 1990)
Outcome related to stage and grade. Overall:
StageTa 100% 5YS
Tis 100% 5YS
T1 97% 5YS
T2 73% 5YS
T3 41% 5YS
T4 <5% 5YS (Hall 1998)
No apparent difference in outcomes for laparoscopic, laparoscopic hand-assisted and open. Laparoscopic better however in terms of patient cosmesis, hospital stay, post-op pain, cosmesis and convalescence:
**Surveillance**

Bladder cancers in 15-50% of patients post-op. Greatest incidence within 24 months of UUT surgery. Although usually lower grade and stage (60%) a significant proportion develop high-grade bladder cancer.

Metachronous contralateral UUT tumour 6% (Kang 2003)

Development of metastasis amendable to palliative chemotherapy evidence from other tumours that volume of metastasis predictor of both response to chemotherapy and overall survival)

Therefore:

- Lifelong cystoscopic surveillance with cytology*
- CXR and CT abdo/pelvis regularly*
- Ipsilateral endoscopy (NSS)*

* No specified surveillance protocol. Raman et al recommend cysto/cyto every 3 mo., with imaging and endoscopy every 6mo. for 2 years, then yearly.

**Algorithm**
Figure 1 Algorithm for the management of upper-tract TCC. After a tissue diagnosis is obtained by ureteroscopy and biopsy, lesions that are amenable to endoscopic ablation will be managed either by a retrograde ureteroscopic or an antegrade percutaneous technique. Larger, bulkier lesions will require a more substantive procedure such as a segmental ureterectomy or a radical nephroureterectomy.