

THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION OF

UROLOGICAL SURGEONS Section of Oncology

Analyses of Radical Cystectomies performed between

January 1st and December 31st 2017

Copyright

It is important to remind you that, under the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 (CDPA) copyright of this Report, including the charts produced in it, is owned by The British Association of Urological Surgeons Limited (BAUS). Copying or reproducing any part of this material in any other publication without seeking the prior permission of BAUS is a breach of copyright.

Please contact Mrs Sarah Fowler (E-mail: sarahfowler99@gmail.com)

AUDIT RESULTS SUMMARY – Cystectomy dataset

All the data presented here are a summary of the data extracted from the web-based database on 3rd September 2018 and relate to operations performed during the whole of 2017. Once extracted the data was transferred to an Access[™] database for validation before being imported into Tableau[™] for generation of the analyses. The validation mainly comprised checks for duplicate and / or empty entries and invalid / inappropriate dates.

The data collection period was from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2017.

- 1,906 cases were submitted in total, of which 1,768 were from England. The 1,906 cases came from 129 consultants at 67 sites and include 35 private patients from 18 consultants.
- Median number of cases per consultant: 11 (range 1 58)
- Median number of cases per centre: 24(range 1 91)
- The overall transfusion rate was 13.4% By technique, the transfusion rates were: open 19.7% and minimally invasive 5.9%.
- The overall known Clavien Dindo Grade III plus post-operative complication rate was 9.1%. (Open 10.0%, minimally invasive 7.9%)
- Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) for 2017 indicate that there were 1,913 cystectomies undertaken so we collected data on 92% of the cases undertaken in England in 2017.

Total returns for Radical Cystectomy procedures performed between 01/01/2017 and 31/12/2017 1906 cases from 129 consultants at 67 centres including 35 private cases from 18 consultants



1 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3

0

Totals by Technique

			Surgical 1	Technique		
	Ор	en	Minimally	/ invasive	Grand	Total
Gender	Ν	% of Total	N	% of Total	Ν	% of Total
Male	762	72.9%	671	77.9%	1,433	75.2%
Female	283	27.1%	190	22.1%	473	24.8%
Grand Total	1,045	100.0%	861	100.0%	1,906	100.0%

Technique by Age

		Median		
Surgical Technique	N	Age	Min. Age	Max. Age
Open	1,010	69	0	99
Minimally invasive	861	69	0	100

Indication

Indication For Cystectomy	Ν	% of Total
Muscle invasive TCC	844	44.3%
Primary NMIBC	248	13.0%
NMIBC refractory to intravesical treatment	295	15.5%
Primary adenocarcinoma	28	1.5%
Primary CIS	81	4.2%
Salvage after radiotherapy	81	4.2%
Sarcoma	11	0.6%
Squamous cell carcinoma	71	3.7%
Benign disease	35	1.8%
Gynaecological cancer	17	0.9%
Secondary adenocarcinoma	18	0.9%
Other	149	7.8%
Not recorded	28	1.5%
Grand Total	1,906	100.0%

Pre-op Serum Creatinine

Pre-op Serum Creat	N	% of Total
0 - 120	1,420	74.5%
121 - 200	212	11.1%
> 200	25	1.3%
Not recorded	249	13.1%
Grand Total	1,906	100.0%

Pre-op Imaging

Pre Operative Imaging (group)	N	% of Total
СТ	1,060	55.6%
CT +/- Bone scan, USS, MRI Others	611	32.1%
MRI	22	1.2%
MRI + others	5	0.3%
USS +/- Other	5	0.3%
PET	4	0.2%
CT,PET,IVU,USS	1	0.1%
PET,Bone scan	1	0.1%
None	91	4.8%
Not recorded	106	5.6%
Grand Total	1,906	100.0%

Pre-operative Serum Egfr

ops EgfrNor	Serum Egfr	Ν	% of Total
Yes (>60)	not recorded / applicable	1,272	66.7%
No	20 - 39	87	4.6%
	40 - 60	307	16.1%
	<20	8	0.4%
	not recorded / applicable	37	1.9%
Not recorded	not recorded / applicable	195	10.2%
Grand Total		1,906	100.0%

Status Upper Tracts

ops StatusUpperTracts	N	% of Total
Normal	1,232	64.6%
Unilateral hydronephrosis	258	13.5%
Bilateral hydronephrosis	78	4.1%
TCC	30	1.6%
RCC	6	0.3%
Single functioning kidney	41	2.2%
Other	45	2.4%
Not recorded	216	11.3%
Grand Total	1,906	100.0%

Pre-operative CT category



Comparison clinical T category with pathological T category



Operating Surgeon

Grade Of Mai	Supervised Training	N	% of Total
Consultant	Yes	13	0.7%
	No	136	7.1%
	Null	1,667	87.5%
	Total	1,816	95.3%
SpR	Yes	45	2.4%
	Total	45	2.4%
Other	Yes	3	0.2%
	Total	3	0.2%
Not recorded	Null	42	2.2%
	Total	42	2.2%
Grand Total		1,906	100.0%

Diversion Procedure

	Surgical Technique					
	Open		Minimally in	vasive	Grand	Total
Diversion Procedure	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total
Continent cutaneous	12	1.1%	3	0.3%	15	0.8%
lleal conduit	892	85.4%	768	89.2%	1,660	87.1%
Orthotopic	55	5.3%	31	3.6%	86	4.5%
Rectal diversion			1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Other	30	2.9%	26	3.0%	56	2.9%
Not recorded	56	5.4%	32	3.7%	88	4.6%
Grand Total	1,045	100.0%	861	100.0%	1,906	100.0%

Joint Operating Cases

Grade Of Mai	Joint Operating C	N	% of Total
Consultant	Yes	497	26.1%
	No	1,319	69.2%
	Total	1,816	95.3%
SpR	No	45	2.4%
	Total	45	2.4%
Other	No	3	0.2%
	Total	3	0.2%
Not recorded	Yes	15	0.8%
	No	27	1.4%
	Total	42	2.2%
Grand Total		1,906	100.0%

Time from Diagnosis to Cystectomy					
Time Diagnosis to cystectomy (N	% of Total			
0-30days	1	0.2%			
31 to 60	13	2.3%			
61-90	24	4.2%			
91-180	139	24.6%			
181-360	127	22.4%			
>360	262	46.3%			
Grand Total	566	100.0%			

CPEX Testing

N	% of Total
575	30.2%
1,176	61.7%
155	8.1%
1,906	100.0%
	575 1,176 155

Total Pelvic exenteration

			Surgical T	echnique				
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand Total			
Total Pelvic Exenteration	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total		
Yes	65	6.2%	4	0.5%	69	3.6%		
No	980	93.8%	857	99.5%	1,837	96.4%		
Grand Total	1,045	100.0%	861	100.0%	1,906	100.0%		

N.B. Specific question added to dataset late 2017 and combined with free text data from 'other concomitant procedures' field

Synchronous Urethrectomy

			Comb	ined Synchro	nous Urethre	ectomy		
	Ye	es	N	lo	Not re	corded	Grand	I Total
Surgical Technique	Ν	% of Total Number o	Ν	% of Total Number o	N	% of Total Number o	N	% of Total Number o
Open	155	14.8%	814	77.9%	76	7.3%	1,045	100.0%
Minimally invasive	75	8.7%	735	85.4%	51	5.9%	861	100.0%
Grand Total	230	12.1%	1,549	81.3%	127	6.7%	1,906	100.0%

Synchronous Nephroureterectomy

			Combined	Synchronou	s Nephrour	eterectomy		
	Ye	s	N	lo	Not re	corded	Grand	l Total
Surgical Technique	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records
Open	36	3.4%	933	89.3%	76	7.3%	1,045	100.0%
Minimally invasive	15	1.7%	794	92.2%	52	6.0%	861	100.0%
Grand Total	51	2.7%	1,727	90.6%	128	6.7%	1,906	100.0%

Other Concomitant procedures

Concomitant Procedures Specify (group)

	Other Concomitant procedure		None/ Not	recorded	Grand Total			
Surgical Technique	Ν	% of Total Number	Ν	% of Total Number	Ν	% of Total Number		
Open	203	19.4%	842	80.6%	1,045	100.0%		
Minimally invasive	117	13.6%	744	86.4%	861	100.0%		
Grand Total	320	16.8%	1,586	83.2%	1,906	100.0%		

N.B. Text feld in dataset from start of data collection - includes all other procedures including Total Pelvic exenterations.

Duration of Operation

				Du	ration Of Op	eration (grou	up)			
	<3 h	ours	3-5 h	ours	>5 h	ours	N	ull	Grand	l Total
Surgical Technique	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records		% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records
Open	98	9.4%	439	42.0%	421	40.3%	87	8.3%	1,045	100.0%
Minimally invasive	65	7.5%	350	40.7%	409	47.5%	37	4.3%	861	100.0%
Grand Total	163	8.6%	789	41.4%	830	43.5%	124	6.5%	1,906	100.0%

Blood Loss

							Measured	Blood Loss						
	<300	300 ml 300-500 ml >500-1000 ml		000 ml	>1000-2	2000 ml	>200	0 ml	Not red	corded	Grand	Total		
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
Surgical		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of		Number of
Technique	N	Records	N	Records	N	Records	N	Records	N	Records	N	Records	N	Records
Open	157	15.0%	295	28.2%	299	28.6%	122	11.7%	35	3.3%	137	13.1%	1,045	100.0%
Minimally in	462	53.7%	224	26.0%	109	12.7%	18	2.1%	2	0.2%	46	5.3%	861	100.0%
Grand Total	619	32.5%	519	27.2%	408	21.4%	140	7.3%	37	1.9%	183	9.6%	1,906	100.0%

Transfusions

Known Transfusion Rate

				Number	Of Units Of	Blood Tran	sfused Dur	ing This Sur	gical Ad			
	N	il	Minor (<	2 units)	Moderate	(3-6 units)	Major (>	•6 units)	Not re	corded	Grand	l Total
		% of		% of		% of		% of		% of		% of
Surgical		Total		Total		Total		Total		Total		Total
Technique	N	Number	N	Number	N	Number	N	Number	N	Number	N	Number
Open	807	77.2%	133	12.7%	52	5.0%	13	1.2%	40	3.8%	1,045	100.0%
Minimally in	801	93.0%	39	4.5%	10	1.2%	1	0.1%	10	1.2%	861	100.0%
Grand Total	1,608	84.4%	172	9.0%	62	3.3%	14	0.7%	50	2.6%	1,906	100.0%

		Trans	fusion	
	Ye	es	N	0
Surgical Technique	N	% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records
Open	198	19.7%	807	80.3%
Minimally invasive	50	5.9%	801	94.1%
Grand Total	248	13.4%	1,608	86.6%

Intraoperative Complications by Technique

			Surgical T	echnique		
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand	l Total
Intra Operative Complications	Ν	% of To	N	% of To	Ν	% of To
None	857	82.0%	718	83.4%	1,575	82.6%
Adhesions	32	3.1%	16	1.9%	48	2.5%
Difficult dissection	48	4.6%	34	3.9%	82	4.3%
Haemorrhage / Bleeding	29	2.8%	18	2.1%	47	2.5%
Nerve injury	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	4	0.2%
Rectal Injury	9	0.9%	5	0.6%	14	0.7%
Robotic device failure			1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Small bowel injury	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	4	0.2%
Vascular injury	4	0.4%	3	0.3%	7	0.4%
Port complications			1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Haemorrhage / bleeding,Small	1	0.1%			1	0.1%
Vascular injury, Difficult dissec	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Not recorded	60	5.7%	60	7.0%	120	6.3%
Grand Total	1,045	100.0%	861	100.0%	1,906	100.0%

Postoperative Complications by Technique

			Surgical T	echnique		
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand	Total
Post Operative Complications (gro	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total
No Complications	616	58.9%	548	63.6%	1,164	61.1%
Anastomotic leak	8	0.8%	11	1.3%	19	1.0%
Bleeding/Haemorrhage	6	0.6%	7	0.8%	13	0.7%
Bowel obstruction	10	1.0%	8	0.9%	18	0.9%
Chest Infection +/_ Others	51	4.9%	31	3.6%	82	4.3%
Intra-abdominal infection +/- others	35	3.3%	25	2.9%	60	3.1%
Lymphocoele	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	4	0.2%
MI	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	8	0.4%
Wound infection / dehiscence	73	7.0%	19	2.2%	92	4.8%
PE / DVT	9	0.9%	2	0.2%	11	0.6%
Prolonged ileus +/- others	80	7.7%	59	6.9%	139	7.3%
Urine leak	10	1.0%	6	0.7%	16	0.8%
Not recorded	139	13.3%	141	16.4%	280	14.7%
Grand Total	1,045	100.0%	861	100.0%	1,906	100.0%

Post-operative complication rate

	Postoprecorded												
	No Compl	ication	Compl	ication	Grand Total								
Surgical Technique	1	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records							
Open	616	68.0%	290	32.0%	906	100.0%							
Minimally invasive	548	76.1%	172	23.9%	720	100.0%							
Grand Total	1,164	71.6%	462	28.4%	1,626	100.0%							

Clavien Dindo Grade of Post operative complications

								Clavien	Dindo Grad	de Of Comp	ications							
	Grade	e I	Grad	le II	Grade	Illa	Grad	le IIIb	Grad	de IVa	Grad	de IVb	Grad	de V	No comp	olication	Grand	I Total
	9	% of Total		% of Total	(% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
Surgical		lumber of		Number of		lumber of		Number of		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number
Technique		Records		Records		Records	N	Records	N	of Recor	N	of Recor	N	of Recor		of Recor	N	of Recor
Open	68	7.7%	113	12.8%	38	4.3%	37	4.2%	6	0.7%			8	0.9%	616	69.5%	886	100.0%
Minimally in	48	6.7%	61	8.6%	15	2.1%	25	3.5%	4	0.6%	3	0.4%	9	1.3%	548	76.9%	713	100.0%
Grand Total	116	7.3%	174	10.9%	53	3.3%	62	3.9%	10	0.6%	3	0.2%	17	1.1%	1,164	72.8%	1,599	100.0%

Known Post-operative Clavien Dindo Grade III plus complication rate

		Surgical Technique									
		Open		Minimally	invasive	Grand Total					
Postoprecorded	Clavien Dindo	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total	Ν	% of Total				
Complication	Grade I & II	181	20.4%	109	15.3%	290	18.1%				
	Grade III plus	89	10.0%	56	7.9%	145	9.1%				
	Total	270	30.5%	165	23.1%	435	27.2%				
No Complication	Not applicable	616	69.5%	548	76.9%	1,164	72.8%				
	Total	616	69.5%	548	76.9%	1,164	72.8%				
Grand Total		886	100.0%	713	100.0%	1,599	100.0%				

Total by LOS

Surgical Technique	Ν	Median	Minimum	Maximum
Open	1,045	11	0	169
Minimally invasive	861	7	0	132
Grand Total	1,906	9	0	169

30 day mortality

	Thirty Day Mortality (group)											
	N	o	1	/	Grand Total							
Surgical Technique	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records						
Open	1,020	98.08%	20	1.92%	1,040	100.00%						
Minimally invasive	849	98.72%	11	1.28%	860	100.00%						
Grand Total	1,869	98.37%	31	1.63%	1,900	100.00%						

cumulative 90 day mortality

		N	inety Day Mo	ortality (grou	p)	
	N	0	Ye	es	Grand	I Total
Surgical Technique	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records
Open	1,014	97.03%	31	2.97%	1,045	100.00%
Minimally invasive	847	98.37%	14	1.63%	861	100.00%
Grand Total	1,861	97.64%	45	2.36%	1,906	100.00%

EnhancedRecovery

	Enhanced Recovery Pathway											
	Y	es	No		N	ull	Grand Total					
Surgical Technique	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records		% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records				
Open	693	66.3%	227	21.7%	125	12.0%	1,045	100.0%				
Minimally invasive	623	72.4%	72	8.4%	166	19.3%	861	100.0%				
Grand Total	1,316	69.0%	299	15.7%	291	15.3%	1,906	100.0%				

Unplanned readmission

	Unplanned Readmission To Hospital												
	Yes	s	No		N	ull	Grand Total						
Surgical Technique		% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records					
Open	105	10.0%	835	79.9%	105	10.0%	1,045	100.0%					
Minimally invasive	87	10.1%	635	73.8%	139	16.1%	861	100.0%					
Grand Total	192	10.1%	1,470	77.1%	244	12.8%	1,906	100.0%					

Grade of Tumour



Operative Histology

Operative Histology (group)	N	% of Total
No cancer	220	11.5%
тсс	859	45.1%
TCC + Others	58	3.0%
Primary CIS	124	6.5%
Primary CIS+ other	1	0.1%
Squamous cell carcinoma	77	4.0%
Squamous cell carcinoma plus others	8	0.4%
Primary adenocarcinoma	40	2.1%
Primary adenocarcinoma + others	1	0.1%
Secondary adenocarcinoma	15	0.8%
Gynaecological cancer	9	0.5%
Gynaecological cancer + others	1	0.1%
Sarcoma	8	0.4%
Radiation change only	2	0.1%
Other	156	8.2%
Not recorded	327	17.2%
Grand Total	1,906	100.0%

Lymph nodes

								Positive Ly	mph Nodes							
	Nor	ne	1 -	5	6-1	0	11-	20	21-	30	>3	0	Not re	corded	Grand	d Total
Lymph Nodes Sampled		% of Total Nu	N	% of Total Nu	Ν.	% of Total Nu	N	% of Total Nu	Ν	% of Total Nu		% of Total Nu	Ν	% of Total Nu	Ν	% of Total Nu
1 - 5	124	88.6%	15	10.7%	1	0.7%									140	100.0%
6-10	209	81.3%	44	17.1%	3	1.2%							1	0.4%	257	100.0%
11-20	556	79.1%	117	16.6%	15	2.1%	3	0.4%					12	1.7%	703	100.0%
21-30	178	74.5%	51	21.3%	3	1.3%	3	1.3%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	2	0.8%	239	100.0%
>30	46	69.7%	15	22.7%	3	4.5%	2	3.0%							66	100.0%
Grand Total	1,113	79.2%	242	17.2%	25	1.8%	8	0.6%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	15	1.1%	1,405	100.0%

LNDlevel2

Lymph Node Dissection	Ν	% of Total
Level I	193	13.7%
Level I,Level II	73	5.2%
Level I,Level II,Level III	3	0.2%
Level II	861	61.3%
Level II,Level III	5	0.4%
Level III	161	11.5%
Level I,Level III	1	0.1%
None	35	2.5%
Not recorded	73	5.2%
Grand Total	1,405	100.0%

Known Positive Surgical Margin Rate

		Positive Margins											
	Y	es	N	o	Grand Total								
Surgical Technique	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records							
Open	73	9.1%	729	90.9%	802	100.0%							
Minimally invasive	41	7.7%	491	92.3%	532	100.0%							
Grand Total	114	8.5%	1,220	91.5%	1,334	100.0%							

Status at most recent Follow-up

						Time to I	Follow Up				
		0-	90	91 -	180	181	181 - 360		> 360		l Total
Current Status	fus PatientStatus	N	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records		% of Total Number of Records	Ν	% of Total Number of Records	N	% of Total Number of Records
Not recorded	Alive	10	38.5%	4	15.4%	6	23.1%	6	23.1%	26	100.0%
	Died in followup	10	24.4%	15	36.6%	13	31.7%	3	7.3%	41	100.0%
Alive with local recurrence of bladd	Alive			3	100.0%					3	100.0%
Alive with lymph node involvement	Alive	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%			6	100.0%
Alive with metastatic disease	Alive	5	41.7%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%			12	100.0%
Alive with no evidence of bladder c	Alive	119	41.0%	68	23.4%	73	25.2%	30	10.3%	290	100.0%
Discharged to GP / Other consultant	Alive	10	83.3%	2	16.7%					12	100.0%
Grand Total		157	40.3%	97	24.9%	97	24.9%	39	10.0%	390	100.0%

Participating Hospital Centres 2017

We are grateful to consultants from the following NHS Centres / trusts who returned data for these analyses:

Aberdeen Royal Infirmary Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge Alexandra Hospital, Redditch Arrowe Park Hospital, Wirral Barking, Havering & Redbridge University Hospital **Bradford Royal Infirmary** Castle Hill Hospital, Hull Charing Cross Hospital, London Cheltenham General Hospital Christie Hospital Churchill Hospital, Oxford Colchester General Hospital Darent Valley Hospital, Kent Derriford Hospital, Plymouth Eastbourne District General Hospital Forth Valley Royal Hospital, Larbert Freeman Hospital, Newcastle Guy's & Thomas's Hospital Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough Kent & Canterbury Hospital Leicester General Hospital Lincoln County Hospital

Lister Hospital, Stevenage Manchester Royal Infirmary Medway Maritime Hospital, Gillingham Monklands District General Hospital, Airdrie Morriston Hospital, Swansea New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton Ninewells Hospital, Dundee Norfolk & Norwich University Hospital Northwick Park Hospital Nottingham City Hospital Pinderfields General Hospital, Wakefield Queen Alexandra Hospital, Portsmouth Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham Queen Elizabeth University Hospital Raigmore Hospital, Inverness Royal Blackburn Hospital Royal Alexandra Hospital, Paisley Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading Royal Bournemouth General Hospital Royal Derby Hospital Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Royal Liverpool University Hospital

Royal Marsden Hospital, London **Royal Preston Hospital Royal Shrewsbury Hospital** Royal Stoke University Hospital Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford Salford Royal Hospital Southampton General Hospital Southend Hospital Southmead Hospital, Bristol St George's Hospital, London St James's University Hospital, Leeds Stepping Hill Hospital, Stockport Stobhill Hospital, Glasgow Sunderland Royal Hospital University College Hospital, London Walsgrave Hospital, Coventry Wycombe Hospital, High Wycombe Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester