

# THE BRITISH ASSOCIATION OF

# UROLOGICAL SURGEONS Section of Oncology

Analyses of Radical Cystectomies performed between

January 1<sup>st</sup> and December 31<sup>st</sup> 2019

#### Copyright

It is important to remind you that, under the Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 (CDPA) copyright of this Report, including the charts produced in it, is owned by The British Association of Urological Surgeons Limited (BAUS). Copying or reproducing any part of this material in any other publication without seeking the prior permission of BAUS is a breach of copyright.

Please contact Mrs Sarah Fowler (E-mail: sarahfowler99@gmail.com)

## **AUDIT RESULTS SUMMARY – Cystectomy dataset**

All the data presented here are a summary of the data extracted from the web-based database on 28<sup>th</sup> May 2020 and relate to operations performed during the whole of 2019. Once extracted the data was transferred to an Access<sup>TM</sup> database for validation before being imported into Tableau<sup>TM</sup> for generation of the analyses. The validation mainly comprised checks for duplicate and / or empty entries and invalid / inappropriate dates. 9 procedures recorded as abandoned were removed from the dataset before analysis to prevent statistical skewing.

The data collection period was from 1 January 2019 to 31 December 2019.

- 1,337 cases were submitted in total, of which 1,270 were from England. The 1,337 cases came from 90 consultants at 48 sites and include 21 private patients from 11 consultants.
- Median number of cases per consultant: 12 (range 1 68)
- Median number of cases per centre: 23 (range 1 80)
- The overall transfusion rate was 9.0% By technique, the transfusion rates were: open 17.0% and minimally invasive 3.1%.
- The overall known Clavien Dindo Grade III plus post-operative complication rate was 7.2%. (Open 9.1%, minimally invasive 5.5%)
- Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) for 2019 indicate that there were 1,605 radical cystectomies undertaken so we collected data on 83% of the cases undertaken in England in 2019.

## Total returns for Radical Cystectomy procedures performed between 01/01/2019 and 31/12/2019 1,337 cases from 90 consultants at 48 sites including 21 private cases from 11 consultants



Total by Centre



#### Totals by Technique

			Surgical Tech	nique (group)		
	Minimally	invasive	Op	en	Grand	Total
Gender	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records along Table (Across)		% of Total Number of Records along Table (Across)	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records along Table (Across)
Male	598	60.2%	396	39.8%	994	100.0%
Female	169	49.3%	174	50.7%	343	100.0%
Grand Total	767	57.4%	570	42.6%	1,337	100.0%

## Technique by Age

Surgical Technique	Number of Records	Median Age	Min. Age	Max. Age
Open	569.0	69.0	27.0	100.0
Minimally invasive	764.0	70.0	0.0	100.0

#### Indication

Indication For Cystectomy	Number	% of Tot
Muscle invasive TCC	586	43.8%
Primary NMIBC	178	13.3%
NMIBC refractory to intravesical treatment	192	14.4%
Primary adenocarcinoma	24	1.8%
Primary CIS	24	1.8%
Salvage after radiotherapy	54	4.0%
Sarcoma	7	0.5%
Squamous cell carcinoma	68	5.1%
Benign disease	25	1.9%
Gynaecological cancer	8	0.6%
Secondary adenocarcinoma	8	0.6%
Other	104	7.8%
Not recorded	59	4.4%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%

## Pre-op Imaging

Pre Operative Imaging (group)	Number	% of Tot
СТ	637	47.6%
CT +/- Bone scan, USS, MRI Others	533	39.9%
MRI	14	1.0%
Bone scan	1	0.1%
MRI + others	2	0.1%
USS +/- Other	1	0.1%
PET +/- others	1	0.1%
IVU,USS	1	0.1%
None	54	4.0%
Not recorded	93	7.0%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%

#### Pre-op Serum Creatinine

Pre-op Serum Creatinine	of	% of Total Number of Recor
0 - 120	930	69.6%
121 - 200	136	10.2%
> 200	27	2.0%
Not recorded	244	18.2%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%

#### **Status Upper Tracts**

ono Statual InnorTracto	of	% of Total Number of Recor
ops StatusUpperTracts Normal	795	59.5%
Unilateral hydronephrosis	160	12.0%
Bilateral hydronephrosis	55	4.1%
TCC	16	1.2%
RCC	4	0.3%
Single functioning kidney	34	2.5%
Other	13	1.0%
Not recorded	260	19.4%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%
Granu Totai	1,337	100.070

#### Pre-operative CT category



#### Comparison clinical T category with pathological T category



#### Operating Surgeon

Grade Of Mai	Supervised Training .	. Number	% of Tot
	eaperneed manning		/0 01 10111

		-	
Consultant	Yes	20	1.5%
	No	124	9.3%
	Null	1,118	83.6%
	Total	1,262	94.4%
SpR	Yes	12	0.9%
	No	1	0.1%
	Total	13	1.0%
Other	Yes	3	0.2%
	No	32	2.4%
	Total	35	2.6%
Not recorded	Null	27	2.0%
	Total	27	2.0%
Grand Total		1,337	100.0%

#### **Diversion Procedure**

			Surgical T	echnique			
	Open		Minimally	Minimally invasive		Grand Total	
Diversion Procedure	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records along Table (Down)	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records along Table (Down)	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records along Table (Down)	
Continent cutaneous	8	1.4%	2	0.3%	10	0.7%	
lleal conduit	486	85.3%	683	89.0%	1,169	87.4%	
Orthotopic	40	7.0%	24	3.1%	64	4.8%	
Other	12	2.1%	23	3.0%	35	2.6%	
Not recorded	24	4.2%	35	4.6%	59	4.4%	
Grand Total	570	100.0%	767	100.0%	1,337	100.0%	

#### Joint Operating Cases

Grade Of Mai	Joint Operating C	Number	% of Tot
Consultant	Yes	383	28.6%
	No	879	65.7%
	Total	1,262	94.4%
SpR	Yes	1	0.1%
	No	12	0.9%
	Total	13	1.0%
Other	Yes	1	0.1%
	No	34	2.5%
	Total	35	2.6%
Not recorded	Yes	4	0.3%
	No	23	1.7%
	Total	27	2.0%
Grand Total		1,337	100.0%

## Time from Diagnosis to Cystectomy

Time Diagnosis to cystectomy (	of	% of Total Number of Recor
61-90	3	1.0%
91-180	60	19.4%
181-360	71	23.0%
>360	175	56.6%
Grand Total	309	100.0%

#### **CPEX** Testing

Cpex Testing	of	% of Total Number of Recor
Yes	436	32.6%
No	735	55.0%
Not recorded	166	12.4%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%

#### Total Pelvic exenteration

		Surgical Technique										
	Ор	en	Minimally	/ invasive	Grand Total							
Total Pelvic Exenteration	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records		% of Total Number of Records	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records						
Yes	40	7.0%	9	1.2%	49	3.7%						
No	437	76.7%	674	87.9%	1,111	83.1%						
Not recorded	93	16.3%	84	11.0%	177	13.2%						
Grand Total	570	100.0%	767	100.0%	1,337	100.0%						

N.B. Specific question added to dataset late 2017 and combined with free text data from 'other concomitant procedures' field

#### Synchronous Nephroureterectomy

			Combined	Synchronou	is Nephroure	eterectomy		
	Y	es	N	lo	N	ull	Grand	Total
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records						
Open	14	2.5%	494	86.7%	62	10.9%	570	100.0%
Minimally invasive	16	2.1%	700	91.3%	51	6.6%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	30	2.2%	1,194	89.3%	113	8.5%	1,337	100.0%

#### Other Concomitant procedures

Concomitant Procedures Specify (gr	oup)

	Other Cor proce		None/ Not	recorded	Grand	l Total
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	% of Total Number	Number of Records	% of Total Number	Number of Records	% of Total Number
Open	82	14.4%	488	85.6%	570	100.0%
Minimally invasive	96	12.5%	671	87.5%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	178	13.3%	1,159	86.7%	1,337	100.0%

N.B. Text field in dataset from start of data collection - includes all other procedures including Total Pelvic exenterations.

			Comb	ined Synchro	nous Urethre	ectomy			
	Y	es	N	lo	N	ull	Grand	l Total	
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	% of Total Number o	Number of Records	% of Total Number o		% of Total Number o	Number of Records	% of Total Number o	
Open	87	15.3%	425	74.6%	58	10.2%	570	100.0%	
Minimally invasive	63	8.2%	654	85.3%	50	6.5%	767	100.0%	
Grand Total	150	11.2%	1,079	80.7%	108	8.1%	1,337	100.0%	

Synchronous Urethrectomy

#### Duration of Operation

				Du	ration Of Op	eration (grou	(dr			
	<3 h	ours	3-5 h	nours	>5 h	ours	Not ree	corded	Grand	I Total
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of
Surgical Technique	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records
Open	53	9.3%	255	44.7%	212	37.2%	50	8.8%	570	100.0%
Minimally invasive	62	8.1%	323	42.1%	309	40.3%	73	9.5%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	115	8.6%	578	43.2%	521	39.0%	123	9.2%	1,337	100.0%

#### Blood Loss

							Measured	Blood Loss						
	<300	) ml	300-5	00 ml	>500-1	000 ml	>1000-2	2000 ml	>200	0 ml	Not ree	corded	Grand	Total
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
Surgical	Number of												Number of	
Technique	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records
Open	131	23.0%	168	29.5%	152	26.7%	59	10.4%	11	1.9%	49	8.6%	570	100.0%
Minimally in	406	52.9%	192	25.0%	80	10.4%	14	1.8%			75	9.8%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	537	40.2%	360	26.9%	232	17.4%	73	5.5%	11	0.8%	124	9.3%	1,337	100.0%

#### Transfusions

#### Known Transfusion Rate

				Number	Of Units Of	Blood Tran	sfused Dur	ing This Sur	gical Ad			
	N	il	Minor (<	2 units)	Moderate	(3-6 units)	Major (>	•6 units)	Not re	corded	Grand	I Total
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	% of Total Number										
Open	460	80.7%	74	13.0%	17	3.0%	3	0.5%	16	2.8%	570	100.0%
Minimally in	728	94.9%	21	2.7%	2	0.3%			16	2.1%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	1,188	88.9%	95	7.1%	19	1.4%	3	0.2%	32	2.4%	1,337	100.0%

		Trans	fusion	
	Y	es	N	o
Surgical Technique	1101110-01-01	% of Total Number of Records	110111201 01	% of Total Number of Records
Open	94	17.0%	460	83.0%
Minimally invasive	23	3.1%	728	96.9%
Grand Total	117	9.0%	1,188	91.0%

## Intraoperative Complications by Technique

			Surgical T	echnique		
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand	l Total
Intra Operative Complications (group)	Number of Records	% of Total Number	Number of Records	% of Total Number	Number of Records	% of Total Number
None	471	82.6%	657	85.7%	1,128	84.4%
Adhesions	16	2.8%	11	1.4%	27	2.0%
Difficult dissection	20	3.5%	28	3.7%	48	3.6%
Haemorrhage / Bleeding	20	3.5%	10	1.3%	30	2.2%
Nerve injury	2	0.4%			2	0.1%
Rectal Injury	6	1.1%	2	0.3%	8	0.6%
Small bowel injury	2	0.4%	2	0.3%	4	0.3%
Vascular injury	1	0.2%	3	0.4%	4	0.3%
Not recorded	32	5.6%	53	6.9%	85	6.4%
Adhesions,Port complications			1	0.1%	1	0.1%
Grand Total	570	100.0%	767	100.0%	1,337	100.0%

## Postoperative Complications by Technique

			Surgical T	echnique		
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand	Total
Post Operative Complications (group) 1	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records	Number of Records	% of Total Number of Records
No Complications	366	64.2%	429	55.9%	795	59.5%
Anastomotic leak	4	0.7%	2	0.3%	6	0.4%
Bleeding/Haemorrhage	6	1.1%	2	0.3%	8	0.6%
Bowel obstruction	6	1.1%	2	0.3%	8	0.6%
Chest Infection +/_ Others	22	3.9%	24	3.1%	46	3.4%
Intra-abdominal infection +/- others	14	2.5%	17	2.2%	31	2.3%
Lymphocoele	4	0.7%	3	0.4%	7	0.5%
MI	1	0.2%			1	0.1%
Wound infection / dehiscence	35	6.1%	11	1.4%	46	3.4%
PE / DVT	7	1.2%	2	0.3%	9	0.7%
Prolonged ileus +/- others	34	6.0%	61	8.0%	95	7.1%
Urine leak	5	0.9%	9	1.2%	14	1.0%
Not recorded	66	11.6%	205	26.7%	271	20.3%
Grand Total	570	100.0%	767	100.0%	1,337	100.0%

#### Post-operative complication rate

			Post C	perative Co	mplications (	group)		
	No	ne	compl	ication	Not ree	corded	Grand Total	
		% of Total	% of Total		% of Total			% of Total
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	Number of Records		Number of Records		Number of Records	Number of Records	
Open	366	64.2%	138	24.2%	66	11.6%	570	100.0%
Minimally invasi	429	55.9%	133	17.3%	205	26.7%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	795	59.5%	271	20.3%	271	20.3%	1,337	100.0%

#### Clavien Dindo Grade of Post operative complications

	Clavien Dindo Grade Of Complications																	
	Grade I Grade II			de II	Grade Illa		Grad	le IIIb	Grad	e IVa	Grade	e IVb	Grad	de V	Not rec	orded	Grand	Total
	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total	Number	% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
Surgical Technique		Number of Records		Number of Records		Number of Records		Number of Records	of Records	Number of Recor		Number of Recor	Number of	Number of Recor	Number of Records		Number of Records	Number of Recor
Open	14	10.1%	76	55.1%	16		18	13.0%	4	2.9%	1	0.7%	7	5.1%	2	1.4%	138	100.0%
Minimally in	26	19.5%	77	57.9%	13	9.8%	10	7.5%	5	3.8%			2	1.5%			133	100.0%
Grand Total	40	14.8%	153	56.5%	29	10.7%	28	10.3%	9	3.3%	1	0.4%	9	3.3%	2	0.7%	271	100.0%

#### Known Post-operative Clavien Dindo Grade III plus complication rate

				-	-		
			Surgical 1	echnique			
	Ор	en	Minimally	invasive	Grand Total		
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total	
Clavien Dindo Grade Of Complications (group)	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	
Grade I & II	90	17.9%	104	18.5%	194	18.2%	
Grade III plus	46	9.1%	31	5.5%	77	7.2%	
No Post-op Complication	368	73.0%	427	76.0%	795	74.6%	
Grand Total	504	100.0%	562	100.0%	1,066	100.0%	

## Total by LOS

Surgical Technique	Number of Records	Median Length Of Stay	Min. Length Of Stay	Max. Length Of Stay
Open	570	10	0	101
Minimally invasive	767	8	1	366
Grand Total	1,337	8	0	366

#### 30 day mortality

		Т	hirty Day Mo	ortality (group	o)		
	N	o	3	/	Grand Total		
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total	
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	
Open	557	98.76%	7	1.24%	564	100.00%	
Minimally invasive	760	99.48%	4	0.52%	764	100.00%	
Grand Total	1,317	99.17%	11	0.83%	1,328	100.00%	

#### cumulative 90 day mortality

		N	inety Day Mo	ortality (grou	p)		
	N	0	Ye	es	Grand Total		
		% of Total		% of Total	% of Tot		
		Number of	110111001 01		Number of		
Surgical Technique	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	
Open	553	97.02%	17	2.98%	570	100.00%	
Minimally invasive	758	98.83%	9	1.17%	767	100.00%	
Grand Total	1,311	98.06%	26	1.94%	1,337	100.00%	

#### EnhancedRecovery

			Er	hanced Rec	overy Pathw	ay			
	Y	es	N	lo	N	ull	Grand Total		
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total	
		Number of					Number of		
Surgical Technique	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	
Open	441	77.4%	50	8.8%	79	13.9%	570	100.0%	
Minimally invasive	504	65.7%	52	6.8%	211	27.5%	767	100.0%	
Grand Total	945	70.7%	102	7.6%	290	21.7%	1,337	100.0%	

#### Grade of Tumour



## Unplanned readmission

			Unpla	nned Readm	nission To Ho	ospital		
	Ye	es	N	lo	N	III	Grand Total	
		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total
	Number of			Number of				
Surgical Technique	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records	Records
Open	65	11.4%	420	73.7%	85	14.9%	570	100.0%
Minimally invasive	66	8.6%	476	62.1%	225	29.3%	767	100.0%
Grand Total	131	9.8%	896	67.0%	310	23.2%	1,337	100.0%

#### **Operative Histology**

Operative Histology (group)	of	% of Total Number of Recor
No cancer	187	14.0%
тсс	558	41.7%
TCC + Others	22	1.6%
Primary CIS	63	4.7%
Squamous cell carcinoma	62	4.6%
Squamous cell carcinoma plus others	2	0.1%
Primary adenocarcinoma	17	1.3%
Gynaecological cancer	1	0.1%
Radiation change only	3	0.2%
Sarcoma+other	5	0.4%
Secondary adenocarcinoma + other	5	0.4%
Other	78	5.8%
Primary CIS, Primary adenocarcinoma	1	0.1%
TCC,Sarcoma,Other	1	0.1%
Not recorded	332	24.8%
Grand Total	1,337	100.0%

#### Lymph nodes

						Positive Ly	mph Nodes					
	None		1 - 5		6-10		11-20		Not recorded		Grand	Total
Lymph Nodes Sampled	Number of Records	% of Total Number of	Number of Records	% of Total Number of	Number of Records	% of Total Number of						
1 - 5	48.0	81.4%	10.0	16.9%					1.0	1.7%	59.0	100.0%
6-10	154.0	83.2%	29.0	15.7%	2.0	1.1%					185.0	100.0%
11-20	370.0	80.8%	72.0	15.7%	8.0	1.7%			8.0	1.7%	458.0	100.0%
21-30	112.0	81.8%	19.0	13.9%	4.0	2.9%	2.0	1.5%			137.0	100.0%
>30	39.0	70.9%	11.0	20.0%	1.0	1.8%	4.0	7.3%			55.0	100.0%
Grand Total	723.0	80.9%	141.0	15.8%	15.0	1.7%	6.0	0.7%	9.0	1.0%	894.0	100.0%

#### LNDlevel2

Lymph Node Dissection	of	% of Total Number of Recor
Level I	167.0	18.7%
Level I,Level II	37.0	4.1%
Level I,Level II,Level III	1.0	0.1%
Level II	551.0	61.6%
Level II,Level III	1.0	0.1%
Level III	81.0	9.1%
None	31.0	3.5%
Null	25.0	2.8%
Grand Total	894.0	100.0%

#### Known Positive Surgical Margin Rate

			Positive	Margins			
	Y	es	N	o	Grand Total		
		% of Total		% of Total	% of Tot		
Surgical Technique	Number of Records	Number of Records					
Open	38.0	9.2%	376.0	90.8%	414.0	100.0%	
Minimally invasive	30.0	7.2%	387.0	92.8%	417.0	100.0%	
Grand Total	68.0	8.2%	763.0	91.8%	831.0	100.0%	

## Status at most recent Follow-up

			Time to Follow Up										
		0-9	0-90 91 - 180 181 - 360 > 360							Grand	Total		
			% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		% of Total		
Current Status	fus PatientStatus	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of Records	Number of	Number of Records		Number of Records	Number of Records			
Alive with local recurrence of bladd		Records	1000103	Records	100.0%	Records	1000103	Records	1000103	Records	100.0%		
Alive with local recurrence of bladd	Alive			1	100.0%					1	100.0%		
Alive with lymph node involvement	Alive	2	50.0%	2	50.0%					4	100.0%		
Alive with metastatic disease	Alive	2	66.7%			1	33.3%			3	100.0%		
Alive with no evidence of bladder c	Alive	29	46.0%	14	22.2%	19	30.2%	1	1.6%	63	100.0%		
Not recorded	Alive	23	57.5%	3	7.5%	9	22.5%	5	12.5%	40	100.0%		
	Died in followup	11	36.7%	10	33.3%	7	23.3%	2	6.7%	30	100.0%		
Grand Total		67	47.5%	30	21.3%	36	25.5%	8	5.7%	141	100.0%		

### **Participating Hospital Centres 2019**

We are grateful to consultants from the following NHS Centres / trusts who returned data for these analyses:

Aberdeen Royal Infirmary Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge Alexandra Hospital, Redditch Arrowe Park Hospital, Wirral Castle Hill Hospital, Hull Charing Cross Hospital, London Cheltenham General Hospital Christie Hospital Churchill Hospital, Oxford Derriford Hospital, Plymouth Eastbourne District General Hospital Freeman Hospital, Newcastle Guy's & Thomas's Hospital James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough Leicester General Hospital Lister Hospital, Stevenage

Manchester Royal Infirmary Medway Maritime Hospital, Gillingham Morriston Hospital, Swansea New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton Ninewells Hospital, Dundee Norfolk & Norwich University Hospital Pinderfields General Hospital, Wakefield Queen Alexandra Hospital, Portsmouth Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham Queen Elizabeth University Hospital **Royal Blackburn Hospital** Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading Royal Bournemouth General Hospital **Royal Derby Hospital** Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield

Royal Liverpool University Hospital Royal Marsden Hospital, London **Royal Preston Hospital Royal Stoke University Hospital** Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford Salford Royal Hospital Southend Hospital Southmead Hospital, Bristol St George's Hospital, London St James's University Hospital, Leeds Stepping Hill Hospital, Stockport Sunderland Royal Hospital University College Hospital, London University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff Walsgrave Hospital, Coventry Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester